

SECRET

66-157/a

6

NSC REVIEW
COMPLETED.

20 JAN 1956

*H. Humphrey / card***MEMORANDUM FOR THE VICE PRESIDENT****SUBJECT: Professor Taurer's Observations
on the Soviet Leadership**

Thank you for your note of 7 January setting forth some of Professor Taurer's observations on the Soviet leadership.

We too have noted the increased importance accorded the office of Soviet "President" within the context of collective leadership. So long as the collective lasts, this emphasis will probably continue. However, Podgorny, we have good reason to believe, is no longer functioning in the Secretariat, although this has not been revealed publicly. He has thus been removed from the key power center, and his opportunities for building a following within the Party are severely reduced. It is for this reason that we, along with most other observers, are inclined to say that Podgorny has, in effect, been kicked upstairs.

We might point out that the removal of Shelepin from his government posts (chairman of the Party-State Control Committee and deputy chairman of the Council of Ministers) is not a matter of interpretation but a point of fact. It is quite another thing to say, however, that he was "formally ousted," for this is to make the assumption that Shelepin left in disgrace. Here we agree with Professor Taurer in thinking that Shelepin remains a strong figure in the Kremlin hierarchy despite his loss of official standing in the government (witness his being put in charge of the Soviet delegation which has just visited Hanoi).

White House
(EXECUTIVE SECRETARY FILE)**SECRET**

Our reading of the present situation is that Shelepin's strength rests on his Party position, which evidently has been improved by Podgorny's removal from the Secretariat. Thus, it is difficult to accept the proposition that Shelepin and Podgorny are now on equal terms in competing for secondary leadership behind Brezhnev. We would concede, of course, that their respective present positions can by no means be considered permanent.

Brezhnev, last December, took over as chairman of the constitutional commission which Khrushchev set up to draft a new Soviet constitution. Almost nothing has been heard of either the commission or its work since. Some formal institutional changes may be made if and when a new constitution is put forth, but we do not believe these would affect the realities of political power in the USSR.

George Kennan addressed himself recently to the problem of achieving meaningful institutional changes in the USSR in an excellent introduction to Boris Nicolaevsky's book, Power and the Soviet Elite, published by Frederick Praeger last year. I am sure you would find Kennan's introduction alone well worth while and am therefore taking the liberty of sending along a copy of the book.

(signed) W. F. Raborn

W. F. Raborn
Director

Attachment

O/DDI: :fbr (17 January 1966)

Distribution:

- Original and 1 - Addressee w/att
✓ 1 - Executive Registry w/basic
1 - DCI's Official Chrono
1 - O/DDI Chrono
1 - Exec Chrono
1 - D/OCI

7. stated by Dec 14 Jan 66

66-157

THE VICE PRESIDENT
WASHINGTON

January 7, 1966

MEMORANDUM

For: Director of Central Intelligence

From: The Vice President *THH.*

For the past 15 years I have received from time to time, thoughtful analyses concerning developments in the Soviet Union from a former professor of political science at Bennington College in Vermont by the name of Dr. Bernard Taurer.

Professor Taurer is an acknowledged student of Soviet institutions and personalities. I recall that at one time, just prior to the assassination of Beria, he predicted that this would happen. This is only one of several accurate observations and predictions that he has made over the past few years relating to Soviet political personalities and developments.

A recent memorandum from Bernard Taurer takes issue with the newspaper reports that Podgorny was "kicked upstairs" when he was made President of the Soviet Union and, therefore, is no longer a factor to be considered. He similarly takes issue with the interpretation of new developments and the quick conclusion arrived at by the press that Shelepin was "formally ousted from his post in the Government" and, therefore, might have suffered a loss of power.

In effect, Taurer believes that Podgorny and Shelepin both have strong positions in the Government and in the Secretariat. The competition between Podgorny and Shelepin for secondary leadership behind Brezhnev still remains and is even more real than ever before. Taurer does not believe that Kosygin is in any position of real leadership or decision-making power compared to Brezhnev.

SECRET

SECRET

Approved For Release 2004/07/08 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000400190033-4

Memorandum for the Director of Central Intelligence

Page Two.

January 7, 1966

It would appear as if there are important constitutional developments taking place within the Soviet Union designed to strengthen the institutional, as distinguished from the personal factors within that society. The institution of the presidency may very well be developing as a role of increased importance, but only within the context of collective leadership. In some ways Taurer thinks we are seeing the development in its own way of a two-party or multi-party system within the Soviet Union designed to provide choices between dimly perceived alternatives within the society. It is a form of controlled polarization into political groupings based on differing functional and positional interests. In this area various ideological tenets are becoming increasingly subordinated to considerations of a non-violent power struggle and career possibilities.

Approved For Release 2004/07/08 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000400190033-4

SECRET

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM		
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET

**CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP**

TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	Executive Director		
2			
3	DDCI		
4			
5	DCI		
6			

☐ ACTION	☐ DIRECT REPLY	☐ PREPARE REPLY
☐ APPROVAL	☐ DISPATCH	☐ RECOMMENDATION
☐ COMMENT	☐ FILE	☐ RETURN
☐ CONCURRENCE	☐ INFORMATION	☐ SIGNATURE

Remarks:

Attached for the Director's signature is a response to a Vice Presidential memorandum of 7 January, per Mr. Knoche's instructions of 14 January. The book is to be forwarded with the Director's reply.

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.	DATE
DDI <i>RHS</i> 7E32	17 Jan

UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP					
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS		DATE	INITIALS	
1	DD/I				
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
	ACTION		DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY	
	APPROVAL		DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION	
	COMMENT		FILE	RETURN	
	CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION	SIGNATURE	
Remarks: For preparation of comments for the Vice President in a memorandum for DCI signature. HK SUSPENSE! Close of business 17 JAN					
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER					
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.				DATE	
O/Executive Director/HKnoche				14 Jan 66	
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	

STAT